

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/799,758	03/15/2004	Hisataka Funakawa	325772034700	2054	
	7590 11/17/2008 FOERSTER LLP	EXAMINER			
1650 TYSONS	BOULEVARD	RILEY, MARCUS T			
SUITE 400 MCLEAN, VA	. 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/799,758	FUNAKAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MARCUS T. RILEY	2625	

•								
	MARCUS T. RILEY	2625						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 31 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request					
(a) Implemental on legy expires								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	iled within two month	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS	·							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		E below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet		lucing or simplifying t	he issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a ∈	corresponding number of finally reis	ected claims						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	oted ciairris.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / tinonamont (	TOL OL+).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio								
11. M The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:	(1 10/35/00) 1 apel 140(s).							
/David K Moore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/Marcus T Riley/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625							

Continuation of 11: Applicant argues that according to claim 1, the image processing apparatus acquires the image data from the external apparatus using a "second data acquiring unit". The second data acquiring unit acquires the image data from the external apparatus if the image data is not stored in the storage unit. The cited references, taken individually or in combination, fail to disclose a second data acquiring unit as claimed. The Examiner understands and recognizes the applicant's position but respectfully disagrees.

Specifically the Examiner believes that this limitation is disclosed at column 3, lines 63-67 thm column 4, lines 1-4 of Ueda ("...second memory control means operative after the preservation of the vacant area by the first memory control means operative and operative based on the result of the judgment performed by the first first more) when the second conversion means to convert into image the intermediate code information of a band which does not contain image data from among the bands of intermediate code information stored in the first storage area, and to develop the image into the second storage area..." column 3, lines 63-67 thm column 4, lines 1-4). Here, Ueda Specifically states that there is a second memory means that is operative "based on the result" of the judgment performed by the first judging means and then develops the image into the second storage area. The "result" of the judgment may either be "negative or positive." For example see Figure 5 and where storing step, Step (7) of the flow diagram shown in FIG. 5 is conducted based on the result of the judgment performed in the second judging step, so as to develop into image the intermediate code information of a band which does NOT contain image data from among the bands of intermediate code information stored in the first storage area, and to store the developed image in the second storage area.